

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/601,106	09/15/2000	Colin Anthony Kemp	T2328-906561	5597
75	90 12/03/2002			
Dennis P Clarke			EXAMINER	
Suite 500 1751 Pinnacle Drive			CHOI, FRANK I	
McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/s\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/601,106	KEMP, COLIN ANTHONY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frank I Choi	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	September 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-23</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 16-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>13-23</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2 0 440(-) (-) - (0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.	ن. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ta baya basa sasabis t					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
application from the International Bu	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)	· -	·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Northber: 09/601,106

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not). Misnumbered claim 14-18, 20-25 have been renumbered as claims 13-25. There was no claim 19.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims 14, 15 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in Paper No. 6. Further, claims 17-23 are alternatively directed to a method. However, in the restriction requirement (1031/2001), the claims on which claims 17-23 were based were restricted to composition, which Applicant acknowledged without traverse in Paper No. 6. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelaltion of nonelected claims and subject matter or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01. Accordingly, claims 14, 15 are withdrawn from consideration and claims 13, 16-23 will be prosecuted as compositions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101/112

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Nober: 09/601,106

Art Unit: 1616

Due to the renumbering of claims above and the fact that the Amendment originally contained no claim 19, Claims 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention in that Claim 19 is dependent on Claim 19 and claims 18, 20-23 are dependent on claim 19. Because of Claim 19 is dependent on itself and claims 18, 20-23 are dependent on claim 19, Examiner is unable to prosecute the claims as to their merits.

Claims 17-25 are ambiguous because they claim in the same claim a composition and method. See Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1548, 1551,1552 (BdPatApp&Int 1990). Furthermore, claims 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as said section requires that a product and process be separately claimed. *Id*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Panoz (US 4,822,617).

Panoz expressly discloses an ointment containing 2% nitroglycerin and lactose in lanolin and white petrolatum base (Column 3, lines 66-68, Column 4, lines 1,2).

Examiner has duly considered Applicant's arguments but deems them unpersuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,106

Art Unit: 1616

Since Martindale's is no longer a part of the rejection herein, Applicant's arguments relative to the same are moot.

In response to applicant's argument that the use in treating impotence is not taught, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machines are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (703) 308-0067. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am – 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. José Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (703) 308-1235 and (703) 308-0198, respectively.

FIC

November 30, 2002

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1000